

# A RESULT ABOUT PICARD-LEFSCHETZ MONODROMY

DARREN SALVEN TAPP

ABSTRACT. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be reduced homogeneous polynomials in separate sets of variables. We establish a simple formula that relates the eigenspace decomposition of the monodromy operator on the Milnor fiber cohomology of  $fg$  to that of  $f$  and  $g$  separately. We use a relation between local systems and Milnor fiber cohomology that has been established by D. Cohen and A. Suciu.

## 1. BRIEF INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Thom-Sebastiani type Theorems have a rich history. This study was initiated by Sebastiani and Thom [12] and improved by others [8, 11]. Perhaps the most successful generalization has been achieved by Némethi [5]. He considers the germs of three holomorphic functions  $f, g, p$  at the origin of  $\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^m$  and  $\mathbb{C}^2$  respectively, and then draws conclusions about the topology of the Milnor fiber [4] of  $p(f, g)$ . Némethi discovered an expression for the Weil zeta function of  $p(f, g)$  in terms of the monodromy representations of  $f$  and  $g$  as well as the several variable Alexander polynomial of  $p$  [6, 7].

In this paper we will investigate the case when  $f$  and  $g$  are homogeneous polynomials and  $p(x, y) = xy$ . We will construct a fibration different from those of [11, Theorem 2], and [5, 6, 8]. We will then produce a formula for the eigenspace decomposition of the Picard-Lefschetz monodromy of  $fg$  in terms of those of  $f$  and  $g$ .

The following describes the situation we consider,

*Hypothesis 1.1.* Let  $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $g \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_m]$  be homogeneous and reduced of positive degrees  $r$  and  $s$  respectively.

In this case the restriction  $f : \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{x \mid f(x) = 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  defines a fibration. The fiber of this fibration is called the Milnor fiber of  $f$ , denoted  $F_f = f^{-1}(1)$ . Lifting the path  $t \mapsto \exp(2\pi it) : 0 \leq t \leq 1$  in  $\mathbb{C}^*$  induces, through a local trivialization of  $f$ , a diffeomorphism of the fiber. This map will be called a *geometric* Picard-Lefschetz(PL) monodromy of  $f$ . A geometric PL monodromy of  $f$  induces a map on the cohomology algebra  $H^*(F_f, \mathbb{C})$  which we will call the *algebraic* PL monodromy of  $f$ . In a more general setting we may have any smooth fibration  $F \rightarrow M \rightarrow N$ . Given a loop in the base space  $N$  we may again construct a diffeomorphism of the fiber; in the case when this diffeomorphism is homotopic to the identity for any loop we choose, we say that the fibration has *trivial* geometric monodromy.

For any  $M \subseteq \mathbb{C}^t \setminus \{0\}$  we denote by  $M^*$  the image of  $M$  under the Hopf fibration

$$\rho : \mathbb{C}^t \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{t-1}.$$

Note that as  $f$  is homogeneous,  $F_f^*$  is the complement of the projective hypersurface defined by  $f = 0$ . Let  $f = f_1 \cdots f_e$  be a factorization of  $f$  into irreducible polynomials. We note that  $(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus f^{-1}(0))^*$  has first homology generated by the

meridian circles  $\gamma_i$  around  $f_i^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  with orientations determined by the complex orientations. For  $\eta^r = 1$  we denote by  $\mathcal{V}_\eta^f$  the rank one local system on  $(\mathbb{C} \setminus f^{-1}(0))^* = F_f^*$  induced by the homomorphism

$$(1.1) \quad \Phi_\eta^f : H_1(F_f^*) \rightarrow GL_1(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^*$$

that sends  $H_1(\rho)(\gamma_i)$  to  $\eta$ . We define  $\mathcal{W}_\eta$  to be the local system on  $\mathbb{C}^*$  induced by the representation that sends the standard generator of  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*)$  to  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . We will also let  $H^*(F_f, \mathbb{C})_\eta$  denote the eigenspace of the algebraic PL monodromy of  $f$  with eigenvalue  $\eta$ . Lastly, the symbol  $\#$  will be used as a subscript of a continuous function, and denotes the induced homomorphism defined on the fundamental groups.

We will show the following two results:

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be as in Hypothesis 1.1. Then there is a fibration  $F_{fg}^* \rightarrow F_f^* \times F_g^*$  defined by*

$$[x_1 : \dots : x_n : y_1 : \dots : y_m] \mapsto ([x_1 : \dots : x_n], [y_1 : \dots : y_m])$$

*with fiber  $\mathbb{C}^*$  and trivial geometric monodromy.*

The Leray spectral sequence associated to this fibration will allow us to prove the following formula.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy Hypothesis 1.1. Then,*

$$H^*(F_{fg}, \mathbb{C})_\eta = H^*(F_f, \mathbb{C})_\eta \otimes H^*(F_g, \mathbb{C})_\eta \otimes H^*(\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}).$$

In the statement above, the tensor symbol is used to mean the tensor product of vectorspaces graded by cohomological degree. Namely, if  $M_*$  and  $N_*$  are graded vectorspaces then

$$(M \otimes N)_k = \bigoplus_{i+j=k} M_i \otimes N_j.$$

It clearly follows from Theorem 1.3 that the Weil zeta function of the algebraic PL monodromy of  $fg$  is always 1, recovering Némethi's result in our case [5].

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

We will make heavy use of a Theorem of D. Cohen and A. Suciu [1] that we state here.

**Theorem 2.1** (Cohen, Suciu). *Let  $f$  be homogeneous and reduced of degree  $r$ , and pick  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $\eta^r = 1$ . Then*

$$H^*(F_f, \mathbb{C})_\eta \cong H^*(F_f^*, \mathcal{V}_\eta^f).$$

□

The equation above simply tells us that an eigenspace of the algebraic PL monodromy of  $f$  is isomorphic to the cohomology of a local system defined on the complement of the projective hypersurface  $f = 0$ . This Theorem leads us to consider the cohomology  $H^*(F_{fg}, \mathcal{V}_\eta^{fg})$ . We establish Lemma 1.2 to aid in the computation of  $H^*(F_{fg}, \mathcal{V}_\eta^{fg})$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\mathbb{P}^{n+m-1}$  have coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m$ . Let*

$$M = \mathbb{P}^{n+m-1} \setminus (\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \cup \mathbb{P}^{m-1})$$

*be the complement of the projective variety defined by the ideal*

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \cdot (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n).$$

*Then the map*

$$\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$$

*sending  $[x_1 : \dots : x_n : y_1 : \dots : y_m]$  to  $([x_1 : \dots : x_n], [y_1 : \dots : y_m])$  makes  $M$  a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -bundle over  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$  with trivial geometric monodromy.*

*Proof.* This map is clearly well-defined. When we restrict to the chart defined by  $x_j \neq 0$  (resp.  $y_j \neq 0$ ) then  $\phi$  can be interpreted as the Hopf fibration applied to the  $y$ 's (resp.  $x$ 's). This map is clearly surjective and has trivial geometric monodromy as  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$  is simply connected.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 1.2.* The restriction of  $\phi$  to  $F_{fg}^*$  has image  $F_f^* \times F_g^*$  and has trivial geometric monodromy.  $\square$

When we look at the Leray spectral sequence induced by this fibration we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 2.3.** *If Hypothesis 1.1 holds then there is a spectral sequence*

$$(2.1) \quad E_2^{i,j} \Longrightarrow H^{i+j}(F_{fg}, \mathbb{C})_\eta,$$

*with  $E_2^{i,j} = 0$  for  $j \neq 0, 1$ , and*

$$E_2^{i,0} \cong E_2^{i,1} \cong \bigoplus_{j+k=i} H^j(F_f, \mathbb{C})_\eta \otimes H^k(F_g, \mathbb{C})_\eta.$$

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be the complement of  $f = 0$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  be the Milnor fibration. On page 107 of [1] we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \pi_1(M) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(M^*) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow f_\# & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\times r} & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

The top row of this diagram is part of the homotopy sequence associated to the Hopf fibration restricted to  $M$ . It also follows from [1] that if  $f = f_1 \cdots f_e$  is a factorization of  $f$  into distinct irreducible polynomials and if  $a_i$  is the homotopy class of a meridian around  $f_i = 0$  with orientation determined by the complex orientations, then  $f_\#(a_i) = 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, e$ . In this way  $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})$  may be identified with the free  $\mathbb{Z}$  module with basis given by the homology classes determined by each of the  $a_i$ , and  $f_* : H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  may be identified with the matrix  $[1, 1, \dots, 1]^T$ . Also note by commutativity that if  $\sigma$  is an appropriate choice of a generator of  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*)$ , then we have  $f_\# \circ \iota(\sigma) = r$ . Thus in particular  $\Phi_\eta^f([\iota(\sigma)]) = \eta^r$ , where  $[*]$  denotes “the homology class determined by”.

Now recall the fibration from Lemma 1.2:

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbb{C}^* \xrightarrow{\kappa} F_{fg}^* \xrightarrow{\phi} F_f^* \times F_g^*.$$

Recall further that we consider  $F_f^* \times F_g^*$  as a subset of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$  with coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m$ . The open subset  $y_1 \neq 0$  of  $F_f^* \times F_g^*$  can be thought of as  $M^* \times C$  where  $C$  is the complement of the hypersurface  $g(1, y_2, \dots, y_m) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{A}^{m-1}$ . In this way,  $\phi^{-1}(M^* \times C)$  is  $M \times C$  in  $\mathbb{A}^{m+n-1}$ . In fact  $\phi|_{\phi^{-1}(F_f^* \times C)}$  may be identified with the Hopf fibration applied to the  $x$ 's,

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbb{C}^* \longrightarrow M \times C \longrightarrow M^* \times C .$$

Let  $U \subseteq C$  be a contractible subset of  $C$  and  $\psi = \phi|_{\phi^{-1}(F_f^* \times U)}$ , and consider the restriction of (2.4),

$$\mathbb{C}^* \xrightarrow{\lambda} M \times U \xrightarrow{\psi} M^* \times U .$$

Then by the discussion in the first paragraph of this proof we know that

$$(2.5) \quad \Phi_\eta^{fg}([\lambda_\#(\sigma)]) = \eta^r ,$$

where  $[*]$  denotes the image of the homology class of  $*$  under the natural map  $H^1(F_f^* \times U, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(F_f^* \times F_g^*, \mathbb{Z})$ .

Let  $\eta^{r+s} = 1$ . We will see that the spectral sequence of the Theorem is essentially the Leray spectral sequence

$$(2.6) \quad H^i(F_f^* \times F_g^*, \mathbb{R}^j \phi_*(\mathcal{V}_\eta^{fg})) \Longrightarrow H^{i+j}(F_{fg}, \mathcal{V}_\eta^{fg}).$$

To compute  $\mathbb{R}^\ell \phi_*(\mathcal{V}_\eta^{fg})$ , we may apply [2, Proposition 6.4.3] to obtain:

- $\mathbb{R}^\ell \psi_*(\mathcal{V}_\eta^{fg}|_{F_f^* \times U}) = \pi_1^*(\mathcal{V}_\eta^f)|_{F_f^* \times U}$  if  $\eta^r = 1$  and  $\ell = 0, 1$ .
- $\mathbb{R}^\ell \psi_*(\mathcal{V}_\eta^{fg}|_{F_f^* \times U}) = 0$  otherwise.

Here  $\pi_1 : F_f^* \times F_g^* \rightarrow F_f^*$ , and  $\pi_2 : F_f^* \times F_g^* \rightarrow F_g^*$  are the natural projections. Note that the equation (2.5) calculates what A. Dimca calls the total monodromy operator on [2, p. 210]. A symmetric argument holds with  $f$  replaced by  $g$  (note that  $\eta^r = \eta^{-s}$  as  $\eta^{r+s} = 1$ ) and we may conclude:

- $\mathbb{R}^\ell \phi_*(\mathcal{V}_\eta^{fg}) = \pi_1^*(\mathcal{V}_\eta^f) \otimes \pi_2^*(\mathcal{V}_\eta^g) := \mathcal{V}_\eta^f \boxtimes \mathcal{V}_\eta^g$  if  $\eta^r = 1$  and  $\ell = 0, 1$ .
- $\mathbb{R}^\ell \phi_*(\mathcal{V}_\eta^{fg}) = 0$  otherwise.

Therefore, if  $\eta^r \neq 1, \eta^{r+s} = 1$  the spectral sequence (2.6) is zero. Hence  $H^*(F_{fg}, \mathbb{C})_\eta = 0$ . In this case  $H^*(F_f, \mathbb{C})_\eta$  is also zero and the Theorem is proved. When  $\eta^r = 1$  we may now apply the Künneth formula [2, Theorem 4.3.14] to obtain that for  $j = 0, 1$  one has

$$H^\ell(F_f^* \times F_g^*, \mathbb{R}^j \phi_*(\mathcal{V}_\eta^{fg})) = \bigoplus_{i+k=\ell} H^i(F_f^*, \mathcal{V}_\eta^f) \otimes H^k(F_g^*, \mathcal{V}_\eta^g) ,$$

while the left hand side is zero for other  $j$ . These are exactly the  $E_2^{\ell, j}$  terms of the spectral sequence of our Theorem, and we know that it converges to  $H^*(F_{fg}, \mathcal{V}_\eta^{fg}) \cong H^*(F_{fg}, \mathbb{C})_\eta$ . This establishes the Theorem for  $\eta^{r+s} = 1$ .

It may be noted that when  $\eta^{r+s} \neq 1$  then either  $\eta^r$  or  $\eta^s$  is not equal to one. If  $\eta^r \neq 1$  then  $H^*(F_f, \mathbb{C})_\eta$  is zero as well as  $H^*(F_{fg}, \mathbb{C})_\eta$ . If  $\eta^s \neq 1$  then  $H^*(F_g, \mathbb{C})_\eta$  is zero as well as  $H^*(F_{fg}, \mathbb{C})_\eta$  and the Theorem follows in these cases.  $\square$

We will now concern ourselves with computing  $H^i(F_{fg}, \mathbb{C})$ . We first consider a variant of [8, Theorem 4] and [11, Theorem 2] in our homogeneous case. We tacitly use the embedding  $F_{fg} \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m$  in the following statement.

**Lemma 2.4.** *The map  $\hat{f} : F_{fg} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  defined by  $(x, y) \mapsto f(x)$  is a fibration with fiber  $F_f \times F_g$ . A geometric PL monodromy of this fibration is,*

$$(x, y) \mapsto \left( \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{r}\right) x, \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i}{s}\right) y \right).$$

*Proof.* Since  $f$  and  $g$  are homogeneous we have  $f(\exp(\frac{t}{r})x) = \exp(t)f(x)$  and  $g(\exp(\frac{t}{s})y) = \exp(t)g(y)$ . We also note that if  $f(x)g(y) = 1$  then  $f(x) = g(y)^{-1}$ . These two properties prove the Lemma.  $\square$

We have a direct consequence, included here for completeness.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy Hypothesis 1.1, then*

$$H^\ell(F_{fg}, \mathbb{C}) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda=\ell-1}^{\ell} \left( \bigoplus_{\substack{\eta^{r+s}=1 \\ i+j=\lambda}} H^i(F_f, \mathbb{C})_\eta \otimes H^j(F_g, \mathbb{C})_{\eta^{-1}} \right)$$

where the inner sum runs over all possible  $\eta$ .

*Proof.* The algebraic PL monodromy operator of  $\hat{f}$  is

$$T_f \otimes T_g^{-1} : H^*(F_f, \mathbb{C}) \otimes H^*(F_g, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^*(F_f, \mathbb{C}) \otimes H^*(F_g, \mathbb{C})$$

where  $T_f, T_g$  are the algebraic PL monodromy operators of the respective fibers. Since  $T_f, T_g$  are of finite order, they are diagonalizable. We let  $\{a_i\}$  (resp.  $\{b_j\}$ ) be a homogeneous basis of  $H^*(F_f, \mathbb{C})$  (resp.  $H^*(F_g, \mathbb{C})$ ) that are eigenvectors of  $T_f$  (resp.  $T_g$ ), with eigenvalue  $\alpha_i$  (resp.  $\beta_j$ ). In such a case  $\{a_i \otimes b_j\}$  are a basis of eigenvectors for  $T_f \otimes T_g^{-1}$  with eigenvalue  $\alpha_i \beta_j^{-1}$ . This shows that

$$\mathbb{R}^\ell \hat{f}_*(\mathbb{C}_{F_{fg}}) = \bigoplus_{\substack{(\alpha_i, \beta_j) \\ \deg(a_i) + \deg(b_j) = \ell}} \mathcal{W}_{\alpha_i \beta_j^{-1}}.$$

Ergo, since non-constant rank one local systems on  $\mathbb{C}^*$  have no cohomology

$$H^p(\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{R}^\ell \psi_*(\mathbb{C}_{F_{fg}})) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha_i \beta_j^{-1} = 1 \\ \deg(a_i) + \deg(b_j) = \ell}} \mathbb{C}$$

for  $p = 0, 1$ , and the left hand side is zero for  $p \neq 0, 1$ .

Now we may consider the Leray spectral sequence associated with the fibration of Lemma 2.4. Since the base of this fibration is  $\mathbb{C}^*$ , the spectral sequence has only two columns, and thus converges on the second page. This yields the Theorem.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Theorem 1.3 now follows from Theorems 2.3 and 2.5. To see this we denote by  $\Gamma_\eta^\ell$  the vector space  $\bigoplus_{i+j=\ell} H^i(F_f, \mathbb{C})_\eta \otimes H^j(F_g, \mathbb{C})_\eta$ . Theorem 2.3 shows the existence of the following exact sequence,

$$\Gamma_\eta^{\ell-2} \xrightarrow{d_2} \Gamma_\eta^\ell \longrightarrow H^\ell(F_{fg}, \mathbb{C})_\eta \longrightarrow \Gamma_\eta^{\ell-1} \xrightarrow{d_2} \Gamma_\eta^{\ell+1}.$$

By [1, Proposition 1.1],  $H^\ell(F_f, \mathbb{C})_\eta \cong H^\ell(F_f, \mathbb{C})_{\eta^{-1}}$ . Hence

$$H^\ell(F_{fg}, \mathbb{C}) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{j=\ell-1 \\ \eta^{r+s}=1}}^{\ell} \Gamma_\eta^j,$$

by Theorem 2.5, and so the second differential,  $d_2$  is zero.  $\square$

### 3. A FEW EXAMPLES

The reader may wish to consult [10] for definitions of terms that involve hyperplane arrangements.

*Example 3.1.* Let  $r = 4, s = 5, f = x_1x_2(x_1 + x_2)(x_1 + 2x_2), g = y_1y_2(y_1 + y_2)(y_1 + 2y_2)(y_1 + 3y_2)$ . Note that  $f$  and  $g$  define generic central line arrangements. The Weil zeta function of any generic hyperplane arrangement singularity is presented in [9]. To compute the Weil zeta function of any hyperplane arrangement singularity one may use [4, Theorem 9.6], the formula  $\chi(F_h) = \deg(h)\chi(F_h^*)$ , and the algorithm [10, Theorem 5.87(c)]. This method is practical for low dimensions and is simple for line arrangements. Also the Weil zeta function of any generic hyperplane arrangement singularity is presented in [9]. Since the Milnor fiber of  $f$  and  $g$  is connected we may easily write down tables expressing the eigenspace decomposition of the algebraic (PL) monodromy as follows. Note that we express  $\exp(2\pi i/5)$  as  $\omega$ .

$\dim(H^j(F_f, \mathbb{C})_\eta)$			$\dim(H^j(F_g, \mathbb{C})_\eta)$		
$\eta \setminus j$	0	1	$\eta \setminus j$	0	1
1	1	3	1	1	4
$i$	0	2	$\omega$	0	3
$-1$	0	2	$\omega^2$	0	3
$-i$	0	2	$\omega^3$	0	3
			$\omega^4$	0	3

Now our Theorem 1.3 immediately yields the following table for  $\dim(H^j(F_{fg}, \mathbb{C})_\eta)$ :

$\eta \setminus j$	0	1	2	3
1	1	8	19	12

where there is a zero for every other  $\eta$  and  $j$ . In this example even though the algebraic PL monodromy of  $f$  and  $g$  have non-unity eigenvalues the algebraic PL monodromy of  $fg$  has one as the only eigenvalue.

This behavior is not uncommon. Theorem 1.3 guarantees that  $H^*(F_f, \mathbb{C})_\eta$  will not contribute to  $H^*(F_{fg}, \mathbb{C})$  if  $H^*(F_g, \mathbb{C})_\eta$  is zero. We state this observation as the following Corollary of Theorem 1.3.

**Corollary 3.2.** *We assume the conditions of Hypothesis 1.1.  $H^*(F_{fg}, \mathbb{C})_\eta \neq 0$  if and only if  $H^*(F_f, \mathbb{C})_\eta \neq 0$  and  $H^*(F_g, \mathbb{C})_\eta \neq 0$ . In particular if  $H^*(F_{fg}, \mathbb{C})_\eta \neq 0$  then  $\eta^{\gcd(r,s)} = 1$ .*  $\square$

Here we give an if and only if condition for the vanishing of  $H^j(F_{fg}, \mathbb{C})_\eta$ . The second paragraph of remark 3.2 of [3] only provides the second sentence of this Corollary.

*Example 3.3.* Let  $r = 3, s = 6, f = x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3$  and  $g = y_1y_2(y_1 + y_2)(y_1 + 2y_2)(y_1 + 3y_2)(y_1 + 4y_2)$ . The eigenspace decomposition of  $f$  is discussed in [4, section 9] and  $g$  is a line arrangement so we obtain

$\dim(H^j(F_f, \mathbb{C})_\eta)$			$\dim(H^j(F_g, \mathbb{C})_\eta)$		
$\eta \setminus j$	0	1	0	1	
1	1	0	2	1	5
$\omega^2$	0	0	3	$\omega$	0
$\omega^4$	0	0	3	$\omega^2$	0

where  $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/6)$ . Applying our Theorem 1.3 yields the following table for  $\dim(H^j(F_{fg}, \mathbb{C})_\eta)$ .

$\eta \setminus j$	0	1	2	3	4
1	1	6	7	12	10
$\omega^2$	0	0	0	12	12
$\omega^4$	0	0	0	12	12

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank his advisor Uli Walther, and is grateful for helpful conversations with D. Arapura.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Daniel C. Cohen and Alexander I. Suciu. On Milnor fibrations of arrangements. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 51(1):105–119, 1995.
- [2] Alexandru Dimca. *Sheaves in topology*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [3] Anatoly Libgober. Eigenvalues for the monodromy of the Milnor fibers of arrangements. In *Trends in singularities*, Trends Math., pages 141–150. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2002.
- [4] John Milnor. *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 61. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1968.
- [5] András Némethi. Generalized local and global Sebastiani-Thom type theorems. *Compositio Math.*, 80(1):1–14, 1991.
- [6] András Némethi. The Milnor fiber and the zeta function of the singularities of type  $f = P(h, g)$ . *Compositio Math.*, 79(1):63–97, 1991.
- [7] András Némethi. The zeta function of singularities. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 2(1):1–23, 1993.
- [8] Mutsuo Oka. On the homotopy types of hypersurfaces defined by weighted homogeneous polynomials. *Topology*, 12:19–32, 1973.
- [9] Peter Orlik and Richard Randell. The Milnor fiber of a generic arrangement. *Ark. Mat.*, 31(1):71–81, 1993.
- [10] Peter Orlik and Hiroaki Terao. *Arrangements of hyperplanes*, volume 300 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [11] Koichi Sakamoto. Milnor fiberings and their characteristic maps. In *Manifolds—Tokyo 1973 (Proc. Internat. Conf., Tokyo, 1973)*, pages 145–150. Univ. Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1975.
- [12] M. Sebastiani and R. Thom. Un résultat sur la monodromie. *Invent. Math.*, 13:90–96, 1971.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, 150 NORTH UNIVERSITY STREET, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907-2067